

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,609	/681,609 05/08/2001		Gregory T. Stauf	Atmi-497 8601	
25559	7590	09/11/2003			
ATMI, INC	C.		EXAMINER		
7 COMMER			LE, THAO X		
DANBURY, CT 06810					
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2814	
				DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003	i

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	[U			
Advisory Action	09/681,609	STAUF ET AL.	(-)			
, avice, y, teach	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thao X Le	2814	ļ			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	on. See MPEP copriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 						
<u> </u>		NOTE .l. \.				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · —					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 27.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26,28-39</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $___$ is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:	, -					
			ļ			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) The Applicant argues that Tsu's "metal silicide layer 20" is different from the "metal alloy" of the claimed invention. This is not persuasive because it has been held that the use of the term "comprise" leaves a claim open for inclusion of materials or step other than those recited in the claim. Ex parte Davis, 80 USPQ 448 (PTO Bd. App. 1948. Use of term "comprises' does not excluded the presence of other elements. In re Hunter, 288 F.2d 930, 129 USPQ 25 (CCPA 1961). 2) the Applicant argues that 'there is no reason why one would change the specific barrier composition TiN of Lu with Tang's TiAlN. This is not persuasive because Tang clearly discloses TiN or TiAlN can be used alternatively as diffusion barrier, column 9 line 26-28. Also, substitution of equivalent requires no express motivation as long as the prior art recognizes the equivalency. In re Fount 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982); In re Siebentritt 152 USPQ 618 (CCPA 1967).

PRINTER EXAMINED

LONG PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER